

<p><b>Beausoleil First Nation (“BFN”)</b></p> <p>Compliance Supervisor/Environmental Specialist</p> <p>(705) 247-2051</p>		
<p><b>Was project information provided to the community?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On September 28, 2018, an Enbridge representative sent an electronic copy of the notification letter to Beausoleil First Nation’s (“BFN”) representative regarding the Georgian Sands Project (“Project”). The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the BFN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project.</p> <p>On October 4, 2018, the Enbridge representative left a voice message with the BFN representative indicating that the scope of the Project involved the installation of 6 km of natural gas pipeline to help meet the growing demands of a subdivision being constructed in the Town of Wasaga Beach. The BFN representative responded via email confirming that she had received the information but stated that she was no longer the contact for the Project and provided the contact information for BFN’s Lands Coordinator. On October 5, 2018 the Enbridge representative emailed the BFN Lands Coordinator a copy of the Project Notification letter and requested a call back with any questions the BFN representative may have regarding the project.</p> <p>On October 16, 2018, the BFN representative emailed the Enbridge representative requesting additional information regarding the archaeological assessments and species inventory for the proposed area. The Enbridge representative responded via email explaining the Project was still in the preliminary stages and Enbridge requested the opportunity to meet with the community to provide a</p>

	<p>Project overview and answer any questions from an environmental perspective. The Enbridge representative requested the BFN representative provide suggested meeting dates. Enbridge further extended an opportunity for one BFN archaeological monitor to participate in the Stage 2 archaeological survey in the spring.</p> <p>On October 22, 2018, the Enbridge representative contacted the BFN Chief to congratulate him on his recent election and inquired if he had the opportunity to review the proposed natural gas Georgian Sands Project information and if he had any questions or concerns. Enbridge provided the Chief with an overview of the Project for additional context. The Chief confirmed that he had received the information and passed it along to the Lands Manager and that he had no immediate concerns with the Project. The Chief provided the contact information for the Lands Manager and suggested Enbridge speak with her regarding the Project. The Chief thanked Enbridge stating that he appreciated the follow up call.</p> <p>On October 25, 2018, the Enbridge representative emailed a copy of the Project notification letter to the Lands Manager requesting a call back with any questions she may have regarding the Project.</p> <p>On December 3, 2018, Enbridge emailed the BFN representative a copy of the Stage 1 archaeological report and requested a call back with any questions she may have. The BFN Lands Manager did not provide a response.</p> <p>On February 1, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed the BFN representative asking if she had any questions regarding the Project and invited the BFN representative to participate in the planned Stage 2 archaeological surveys. To date, the BFN representative has not responded.</p> <p>On February 13, 2019, the Enbridge representative</p>
--	--

		<p>provided the BFN representative a list of Terrestrial Species at Risk (TSAR) and Terrestrial Species of Conservation Concerns (TSOCC) that Enbridge identified during the desktop study and correspondence with the MNRF. The Enbridge representative explained that during the site investigation along the proposed route, no rare or specialized habitat for wildlife was identified in the Study Area and the potential for species to be present is limited by habitat suitability and availability. The Enbridge representative extended the opportunity to arrange a conference call with Enbridge's Environmental Specialist to discuss the proposed mitigation measures and answer any questions the BFN representative may have regarding the Project. A copy of the environmental report was provided to the BFN representative.</p>
<p><b>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Other than the request for additional information regarding the archaeological assessments and species inventory for the proposed area, BFN did not raise any Project-related concerns despite multiple outreach attempts and offers to meet to discuss the Project.</p>

<p><b>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On October 24, 2018, Enbridge contacted BFN in response to a request regarding any information Enbridge could provide regarding archaeological studies and species inventory for the area of the proposed pipeline. Enbridge responded to the BFN representative by way of email on October 24, 2018 clarifying that Enbridge is still in the preliminary stages of the Project and welcomed the opportunity to meet with BFN to provide the community with an overview of the Project and answer any questions they may have from an environmental perspective. The Enbridge representative further informed BFN that that Stage 1 archaeological assessment report was still being developed and a copy would be provided once completed.</p> <p>On December 3, 2018, a copy of the Stage 1 archaeological assessment was provided to BFN.</p>
<p><b>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>To date, BFN has not expressed any Project specific concerns; therefore, BFN does not have any outstanding concerns related to the Project.</p>

**Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation (“CGIFN”)**

Lands Manager and Environmental Officer

(705) 437-1337

<p><b>Was project information provided to the community?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On September 21 and September 28, 2018, an Enbridge representative sent an electronic copy of the notification letter to the Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation’s (“CGIFN”) representative regarding the Georgian Sands Project (“Project”). The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the CGIFN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project.</p> <p>On October 4, 2018, the Enbridge representative left a voice message with the CGIFN representative indicating that the scope of the Project involved the installation of 6 km of natural gas pipeline to help meet the growing demands of a subdivision being constructed in the Town of Wasaga Beach. The CGIFN representative responded and provided Enbridge with the contact name and number for the CGIFN representative and suggested the Project details be provided to her.</p> <p>On October 22, 2018, the Enbridge representative followed up with a phone call and left a message regarding the proposed Georgian Sands Project and inquired if she had any questions or concerns regarding the Project. The Enbridge representative requested to let her know if the First Nation was interested in meeting with her in person to discuss the Project and requested a call back.</p> <p>On December 3, 2018, the Enbridge representative emailed the CGIFN representative a copy of the Stage 1</p>
--	---	--

	<p>Archeological Assessment report for the Project. Enbridge informed the CGIFN representative that Enbridge was planning to complete the stage 2 archaeological assessment next spring (2019) and would reach out to the community at that point to inquire if CGIFN would like to send a monitor to participate in the stage 2 field work. The Enbridge representative offered to meet in person to provide an overview of the Project. On December 3, 2018, the CGIFN Lands Manager responded requesting Enbridge contact the Environmental Officer and send all future correspondence regarding the Georgian Sands Project directly to him.</p> <p>On December 3, 2018, the Enbridge representative sent the Stage 1 Archeological Assessment report for the Project to the Environmental Officer for CGIFN. Enbridge further expressed interest in meeting with the Environmental Officer to provide him with an overview of the Project and answer any questions he may have. The CGIFN Environment Officer did not respond.</p> <p>On February 1, 2019, the Enbridge representative followed up with the Environmental Officer via email, re-attaching a copy of the Project notification letter and informing him that Enbridge was planning to start the Stage 2 archaeological assessment field work in the spring and to let Enbridge know if CGIFN was interested in participating in the Stage 2 field work. The Enbridge representative requested a call back. The CGIFN did not respond.</p> <p>On February 13, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed a copy of the environmental report to the CGIFN representative for his information. The Enbridge representative also provided a list of Terrestrial Species of Risk and Terrestrial Species of Conservation Concern that Enbridge identified during the desktop study and correspondence with the MNRF. The Enbridge representative explained that during the site investigation along the proposed route, no rare or specialized habitat for wildlife was identified in the Study Area and the</p>
--	--

		<p>potential for species to be present is limited by habitat suitability and availability. The Enbridge representative extended the opportunity to arrange a conference call with CGIFN and Enbridge's Environmental Specialist to discuss the proposed mitigation measures and answer any questions the CGIFN representative may have regarding the Project.</p>
<p><b>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</b></p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input type="checkbox"/> No         </p>	<p>Although there was only one instance of direct contact via email from the CGIFN Lands Manager to the Enbridge representative, CGIFN has not responded to Enbridge's outreach despite multiple attempts.</p>
<p><b>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</b></p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         </p>	<p>The CGIFN Lands Manager and Environmental Officer did not have any Project-related questions or concerns.</p>
<p><b>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</b></p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         </p>	<p>No, the community does not have any outstanding concerns related to the Project. As referred to above, the community has not expressed an interest to meet with Enbridge.</p>

**Chippewas of Rama First Nation (“CRFN”)**

**Consultation Worker and Community Researcher, Archivist & Culture**

(705) 325-3611

<p><b>Was project information provided to the community?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On September 21, 2018, the Enbridge representative sent an electronic copy of the notification letter to the Chippewas of Rama First Nation’s (“CRFN”) representative regarding the Georgian Sands Project (“Project”). The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the CRFN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project.</p> <p>On October 4, 2018, the Enbridge representative left a voice message with the CRFN representative indicating that the scope of the Project involved the installation of 6 km of natural gas pipeline to help meet the growing demands of a subdivision being constructed in the Town of Wasaga Beach. On October 23, 2018, the CRFN representative left a message with the Enbridge representatives requesting a call back.</p> <p>On October 23, 2018, Enbridge spoke with the CRFN representative via telephone regarding the Project. The CRFN representative asked why Enbridge was reaching out to CRFN regarding the Georgian Sands Project if the First Nation did not have any land where the proposed project was taking place. Enbridge explained that they are regulated by the Ontario Energy Board and the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines (MOENDM) delegated the procedural aspects of the duty to consult to Enbridge for the Project. Enbridge explained that the MOENDM listed CRFN as one of the communities with whom Enbridge was required to consult. Enbridge</p>
--	---	--

	<p>committed to sending the consultation representative a copy of the MOENDM delegation letter for her information and reference. The CRFN representative thanked Enbridge for the explanation as she was unclear as to why Enbridge was reaching out to her regarding the Project. The Enbridge representative indicated that she would be happy to meet with the CRFN representative in person to provide her with an overview of the Project if CRFN were interested, or if they were only interested in the archaeology, Enbridge would be happy to invite a community representative to participate in the stage 2 archaeological assessments. The Enbridge representative stated that if CRFN was not interested in being engaged in the Project or did not have any concerns with the Project, Enbridge would appreciate knowing the community's position and/or interests pertaining to this Project. The CRFN representative thanked Enbridge for providing her with a better understanding of why CRFN was being contacted regarding the Georgian Sands Project and committed to reviewing the MOENDM letter and getting back to Enbridge with any questions or concerns she may have regarding the Project. On October 24, 2018, the Enbridge representative provided the CRFN representative with a copy of the delegation letter.</p> <p>On February 1 and February 5, 2019, Enbridge provided the Chief and the CRFN Community Researcher a copy of the Stage 1 archaeological assessment and extended an opportunity for one CRFN community representative to participate in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment.</p> <p>On February 8, 2019, the CRFN Community Researcher and Consultation Worker responded confirming that a representative from CRFN would participate in the planned Stage 2 archaeological assessment. On February 8, 2019, Enbridge committed to sending the CRFN Consultation Worker a draft copy of the archaeological monitoring agreement for her review and approval.</p>
--	--

		<p>On February 13, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed a copy of the environmental report to the CRFN representative for her information. The Enbridge representative also provided a list of Terrestrial Species of Risk and Terrestrial Species of Conservation Concern that Enbridge identified during the desktop study and correspondence with the MNRF. The Enbridge representative explained that during the site investigation along the proposed route, no rare or specialized habitat for wildlife was identified in the Study Area and the potential for species to be present is limited by habitat suitability and availability. The Enbridge representative extended the opportunity to arrange a conference call with the CRFN representative and Enbridge's Environmental Specialist to discuss the proposed mitigation measures and answer any questions the CRFN representative may have regarding the Project.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative also committed to sending her a draft archaeological monitoring agreement for her review.</p> <p>On February 14, 2019, the CRFN Community Consultation Worker responded thanking Enbridge for providing the environmental report and indicated that she would contact Enbridge with any questions or concerns she may have regarding the Project. The CRFN representative also provided the Enbridge representative with a copy of CRFN's travel rates regarding archaeological monitoring. The Enbridge representative thanked the CRFN representative for the information.</p>
<p><b>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</b></p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input type="checkbox"/> No         </p>	<p>Although Enbridge and the community had multiple exchanges regarding the Project, CRFN did not raise any Project-related concerns or questions.</p>

<b>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	CRFN did not raise any Project-related questions regarding the proposed Georgian Sands Project despite multiple outreach attempts and offers to meet for the purpose of discussing the Project.
<b>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	To date, CRFN has not expressed any Project-related concerns.

<p><b>Huron Wendat Nation (“HWN”)</b></p> <p>Project Coordinator/Consultation Worker</p> <p>(418) 843-3767</p>		
<p><b>Was project information provided to the community?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On September 21, 2018, the Enbridge representative sent an electronic copy of the notification letter to the Huron Wendat Nation’s (“HWN”) representative regarding the Georgian Sands Project (“Project”). The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route, the rationale for the Project and invitation to attend the community open house. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the HWN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project.</p> <p>The HWN representative responded on September 21, 2018, stating that they would not be available to attend the community information open house but would like to schedule a conference call to discuss the Project.</p> <p>On October 22, 2018, the Enbridge representative and the HWN Project coordinator held a conference call to discuss the Georgian Sands Project. The Enbridge representative provided HWN with an overview of the Project explaining that Enbridge was planning to construct 6 km natural gas pipeline in the Town of Wasaga Beach as a result of a housing development that was being constructed. Enbridge explained that the purpose of the Project was to service the 1000 homes with natural gas. Enbridge further explained that the Project was not being constructed on new land but rather along an existing road allowance to help mitigate any disturbance to the land and the environment. Enbridge indicated that a stage 1 archaeological assessment was completed but the report was not finalized. The HWN representative asked when the stage 2 was being planned for. The Enbridge</p>

		<p>representative indicated that it would either be completed by the end of this year (before the ground freezes) or it would be completed next Spring. Enbridge indicated that as soon as a decision was made she would inform the HWN to ensure that a representative was engaged in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment and committed to providing her with reasonable capacity funding to ensure one HWN representative would be able to participate in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment. Enbridge also indicated that she anticipated the HWN representative would be required for approximately 5 days (i.e. two days of travel and 2 to 3 days of field work). HWN inquired what other First Nations were involved in the Project. Enbridge provided the HWN with the names of the five First Nations that were identified in the MOENDM delegation letter and committed to sending her a copy of the MOENDM letter for her information and reference. The HWN representative thanked Enbridge for the information and indicated that she would wait to hear back regarding when the Stage 2 archaeological assessment would take place. Enbridge thanked the HWN representative for her time and committed to getting back to her with the logistical details regarding the field work.</p> <p>On December 3, 2018, Enbridge sent a copy of the Stage 1 archaeological report to the HWN representative and on December 4, 2018, Enbridge provided copies of the Project shapefiles as per the HWN's request.</p> <p>On February 1, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed the HWN representative informing them that the planned Stage 2 archaeological assessments were taking place in the Spring of 2019. The HWN responded thanking Enbridge for engaging with them so early in process and confirmed their participation.</p> <p>On February 4, 2019, Enbridge provided the HWN with a draft archaeological monitoring agreement for the community's review and approval.</p>
--	--	---

<p><b>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</b></p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input type="checkbox"/> No         </p>	<p>The Enbridge representative has an ongoing relationship with the HWN's Consultation Worker/Project Coordinator and the engagement with this community is ongoing not only regarding Enbridge's projects, but also more broadly regarding other Enbridge operations.</p>
<p><b>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</b></p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         </p>	<p>The HWN have not expressed any concerns regarding the Project.</p>
<p><b>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</b></p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         </p>	<p>HWN does not have any outstanding concerns related to the Project.</p>

<p><b>Metis Nation of Ontario</b></p> <p>Manager, Nuclear Energy Lands Resources &amp; Consultations</p> <p>(705) 526-6335 ext.220</p>		
<p><b>Was project information provided to the community?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On September 21, 2018, an Enbridge representative mailed a copy of the notification letter to the President of the Georgian Bay Metis Council, the Metis Nation of Ontario head office and the President of the Metis Nation of Ontario Moon River Metis Council representatives (“MNO”) regarding the Georgian Sand Project (“Project”). The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the MNO representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project.</p> <p>On October 4, 2018, the Enbridge representative spoke with the Manager, Consultation for the MNO regarding the Project. The Enbridge representative indicated that the scope of the Project included the installation of 6 km of natural gas pipeline to help meet growing demands of a subdivision being constructed in the Town of Wasaga Beach. The MNO Manager, Consultation provided the contact information for the Consultation Clerk who is overseeing MNO Region 8 and suggested the Enbridge representative contact them directly with the Project details.</p> <p>On October 5, 2018, the Enbridge representative contacted the Region 8 MNO Consultation Coordinator regarding the Project. The MNO Consultation Coordinator provided the Enbridge representative with their contact information and requested Enbridge send the Notice for their review. The MNO representative indicated that the Georgian Bay Traditional Territory Council would be</p>

	<p>reviewing the information as they represent the Georgian Bay Metis and the Moon River Metis.</p> <p>On October 21, 2018, the MNO representative responded expressing an interest to meet with Enbridge to better understand the Project and requested capacity funding to help facilitate Project discussions. Enbridge provided the MNO with reasonable capacity funding and on November 5, 2018, Enbridge met with the MNO Regional Council to provide them with an overview of the Project. Enbridge discussed the Project in its entirety including Project scope and overview, Project details, map of the proposed route and Project timelines.</p> <p>The discussions also included archaeological interests pertaining to the Project. The Enbridge representative extended the opportunity to have an MNO representative participate in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment. The MNO expressed an interest in participating and inquired if Métis artifacts and Métis archaeological sites would be reviewed. Enbridge responded in a subsequent email confirming that Métis sites and artifacts are considered by the licensed archaeologist contracted through Enbridge. A copy of the Stage 1 archaeological report was provided to MNO at the meeting. The MNO expressed an interest in understanding the RFP language used specific to Indigenous employment. Enbridge subsequently provided the MNO with a copy of the language typically used by Enbridge in the RFP process for the purpose of increasing indigenous economic development and employment. Overall, the MNO appreciated the opportunity to better understand the Project as well Enbridge's overall operations program.</p> <p>On December 3, 2018, the Enbridge representative emailed the MNO representative a copy of the Stage 1 Archaeological Report and extended the opportunity for one MNO representative to participate in the stage 2 archaeological field work.</p> <p>On February 1, 2019, the MNO reaffirmed their interest in</p>
--	---

		<p>participating in the stage 2 field work.</p> <p>On February 13, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed a copy of the environmental report to the MNO representative for his information. The Enbridge representative also provided a list of Terrestrial Species of Risk and Terrestrial Species of Conservation Concern that Enbridge identified during the desktop study and correspondence with the MNRF. The Enbridge representative explained that during the site investigation along the proposed route, no rare or specialized habitat for wildlife was identified in the Study Area and the potential for species to be present is limited by habitat suitability and availability. The Enbridge representative extended the opportunity to arrange a conference call with the MNO representative and Enbridge's Environmental Specialist to discuss the proposed mitigation measures and answer any questions the MNO representative may have regarding the proposed Project.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative also committed to sending a draft archaeological monitoring agreement for his review.</p>
<p><b>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Enbridge and the MNO had multiple exchanges regarding the Project.</p>
<p><b>Did the community members or representatives</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>During the November 5, 2018 meeting, the MNO Regional Council 8 representatives asked if Enbridge takes into consideration Metis Nation archaeological sites. On December 6, 2018, Enbridge confirmed that the archaeologists review all sites types and evaluate all</p>

<b>have any questions or concerns?</b>		artifacts, regardless of cultural origin or date. Although, not Project specific, the MNO also inquired about the amalgamation between Union Gas and Enbridge.
<b>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	To date, the MNO has not expressed any Project specific; therefore, MNO does not have any outstanding concerns.