

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. RESPONSE TO
BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY #7

INTERROGATORY

Issue: A-1

Are the proposed facilities needed? Considerations may include but are not limited to demand, reliability, security of supply, flexibility, constraints, operational risk, cost savings and diversity as well as the Board's statutory objectives.

REF: EB-2012-0451, Pipeline Proposal
EB-2013-0074, Section 7 – New Dawn-Parkway System Demands, Page 10
of 14, Lines 13-14

Preamble

Union notes that it entered into a TCPL open season for transportation starting November 1, 2014 to support natural gas deliveries to Union North. However, in September 2012, Union was informed by TCPL that the incremental capacity to serve the TCPL open season bids would not be available for November 1, 2014 as provided in the open season but rather it would be available November 1, 2015. Union notes that it is expecting TCPL to expand capacity between Parkway and Maple to serve this incremental interest.

Questions

- a) To what extent are the subject OEB applications dependent on any TCPL facilities expansions, such as the Parkway to Maple Expansion Project noted above? Please explain how any delays in TCPL's facilities expansions will affect the Union and Enbridge proposals?
- b) Please discuss the potential risks of a further delay of incremental capacity from TCPL past November 1, 2015.
- c) Please discuss Union's plans to mitigate any risks from a further delay.
- d) Please discuss the potential effects of TCPL not expanding capacity between Parkway and Maple to serve the incremental interest.

Witness: J. Denomy

RESPONSE

- a) The GTA Project is not dependent on TransCanada expanding facilities from Parkway to Maple.
- b) Please see response to a) above.
- c) This question is directed to Union Gas and therefore is not answered by EGD.
- d) Please see response to a) above.