

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. RESPONSE TO
CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS & EXPORTERS INTERROGATORY #3

INTERROGATORY

Are the proposed facilities needed? Considerations may include but are not limited to demand, reliability, security of supply, flexibility, constraints, operational risk, cost savings and diversity as well as the Board's statutory objectives.

Issue A.I.

Ref: EB-2012-0451, Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 5, page 23-26 of 26

In this section of its updated evidence, EGD addresses the implications of the TCPL restructuring proposal decision and recent open season. Specifically, EGD addresses the implications of the March 27, 2013 NEB decision (RH-003-2011). CME understands that on or about May 1, 2013, TCPL filed an application for review and variance of that decision. Has EGD undertaken an analysis of the impact which the review and variance application will have, if any, on the GTA Project? If so, please provide that analysis. If not, why not?

RESPONSE

The TransCanada Review and Variance application will not have an impact on the facilities that will be required for the GTA Project. TransCanada tolls under the Review and Variance Application differ from those that would prevail if the NEB Decision related to RH-003-2011 were to be implemented. Consequently, the economics of the GTA Project, specifically the expected gas supply benefits, will vary depending on which tolls are ultimately approved as final tolls. Please see the response to Board Staff #11 which can be found at Exhibit I.A2.EGD.STAFF.11 for a comparison of the expected gas supply benefits utilizing tolls from the NEB Decision versus the tolls contained in the Review and Variance Application.

Witness: J. Denomy