

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. RESPONSE TO
CONSUMERS COUNCIL OF CANADA INTERROGATORY #17

INTERROGATORY

4. What are the alternatives to the proposed facilities? Are any alternatives to the proposed facilities preferable to the proposed facilities?

Issue: A.4-CCC-17

Reference: A/T3/S3/pg.11; A/T3/S7/p.13

- a) The proposed project does not appear to mitigate the risk arising from the fact that more than 50% of volumes destined for the Toronto core come from one XHP line (Don Valley NPS 30/ station B). Please explain what other options were considered to address this risk.
- b) Specifically, please explain what issues other than urban construction problems (as discussed in the evidence) were considered in rejecting the looping or reinforcement of Enbridge's south eastern Lakeshore NPS 20 pipeline.
- c) Please provide the cost-benefit analysis that was undertaken for full or partial replacement of the NPS 20 Lakeshore line.

RESPONSE

- a) The reference noted refers to Parkway Gate station, from which more than 50% of the volumes for the GTA are supplied. Please see Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 7 for Alternatives considered.
- b) Over and above the issues with urban construction, factors considered for replacement of the Lakeshore NPS 20 line were;

Witness: C. Fernandes

- the lack of capability to construct within a dedicated utility corridor, which significantly reduces the chances of third party damages and therefore increases safety and reliability of the distribution system,
 - the ability to meet all objectives as stated in Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 1,
 - and the cost associated with this routing.
- c) A cost-benefit analysis was not undertaken for this alternative. During the screening of alternatives, this alternative was eliminated and no further work was performed.