

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. RESPONSE TO
ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION INTERROGATORY #57

INTERROGATORY

Issue D1 Do the facilities address the OEB Environmental Guidelines for Hydrocarbon Pipelines as applicable?

Ref: EB-2012-0451 Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Page 1

Preamble: The evidence provides a list of factors considered during the identification of alternative routes. This criteria was used to identify several alternatives for both segments.

- a) Please confirm the total number of alternative routes considered for each segment.
- b) Please describe how the criteria were applied and how the analysis was undertaken to identify alternatives.
- c) Please discuss if relative weightings for each factor/criterion was considered in the analysis.

RESPONSE

- a) Section 4.1.1 (page 82) of the ER provides an overview of route alternatives for Segment A. Section 4.1.2 (page 83) of the ER provides an overview of route alternatives for Segment B. Three routes were reviewed for Segment A, and four routes for Segment B (east-west) and four routes for Segment B (north-south).
- b) Section 4.1 of the ER provides an overview of how alternative routes were identified including a list of the factors used. The identification of alternative routes included a multi-disciplinary review of the overall study areas and considered environmental planning, social and economic impact assessment and pipeline construction activities to determine the feasibility of various routes between the identified start and end points.
- c) Relative weightings were not considered in the analysis.

Witnesses: L. Dumond
J. Muraca