

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. RESPONSE TO  
ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION INTERROGATORY #92

INTERROGATORY

Issue A3 - Are the costs of the facilities and rate impacts to customers appropriate?

Ref: EB-2012-0451 Exhibit A, Tab 3 Schedule 9 pages 15-16

- a) Please confirm the bill impacts are for the new base case and confirm assumptions related to annual revenue requirement(s)
- b) Please provide the average residential bill impacts for Segment A for each of
  - i. 36 " EGD sole use pipeline
  - ii. 36" EGD plus 400 Gj/d other shippers
  - iii. 42" EGD plus 800 Gj/d other shippers
  - iv. 42" EGD plus 1200 Gj/d other shippers

RESPONSE

- a) The rate impacts depicted at Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 9, pages 15 and 16 are based on NPS 42 pipeline from Parkway to Albion as filed in the Company's updated evidence on July 22, 2013. The rate impacts are based on the proposed 2016 revenue requirement for the proposed base case.
- b) i) The Company is no longer proposing a 36" pipeline however, for purposes of this interrogatory the previous base case filed at Exhibit JT2.16 on June 18, 2013 assumed a 36" pipeline from Bram West to Albion. Assuming the entire cost of the GTA project based on 36" pipeline is recovered from EGD's customers, the bill impacts inclusive of gas cost savings would be:

Witness: A. Kacicnik

		<b>BUNDLED RATES</b>	
<b>Rate Class</b>		<b>Sales Service</b>	
1		-1.8%	
6		-2.9%	
9		-4.0%	
100		-5.4%	
110		-5.4%	
115		-6.0%	
135		-6.6%	
145		-5.8%	
170		-6.9%	
200		-4.2%	
		<b>UNBUNDLED RATES</b>	
125		23.9%	
300		8.7%	

- ii) The Company is proposing that the entire cost of the transportation portion of Segment A pipeline be recovered from transportation shippers. However, for purposes of this interrogatory response the Company has assumed that the allocation of costs between EGD's customers and shippers would be based on transportation shippers using 400 TJ/day. Based on the response to part b) i) above and assuming 400 TJ/day is allocated to shippers, the bill impacts inclusive of gas costs savings would be:

Witness: A. Kacicnik

		<b>BUNDLED RATES</b>	
<b>Rate Class</b>		<b>Sales Service</b>	
1		-2.0%	
6		-3.1%	
9		-4.1%	
100		-5.6%	
110		-5.6%	
115		-6.2%	
135		-6.8%	
145		-6.0%	
170		-7.1%	
200		-4.4%	
		<b>UNBUNDLED RATES</b>	
125		23.9%	
300		8.7%	

- iii) The Company is proposing that the entire cost of the transportation portion of Segment A pipeline be recovered from transportation shippers. However, for purposes of this interrogatory response the Company has assumed that the allocation of costs between EGD's customers and shippers would be based on Shippers using 800 TJ/day. Based on the Company's current base case as filed on July 22, 2013, assuming a 42" pipeline from Parkway to Albion with 800TJ/day allocated to shippers, the bill impacts inclusive of gas costs savings would be:

Witness: A. Kacicnik

		<b>BUNDLED RATES</b>	
<b>Rate Class</b>		<b>Sales Service</b>	
1		-1.9%	
6		-3.0%	
9		-4.1%	
100		-5.5%	
110		-5.5%	
115		-6.1%	
135		-6.7%	
145		-5.9%	
170		-7.0%	
200		-4.3%	
		<b>UNBUNDLED RATES</b>	
125		23.5%	
300		8.6%	

- iv) This scenario reflects the Company's current base case proposal, the bill impacts inclusive of gas costs savings are the same as those outlined in Exhibit A, Tab 3, Schedule 9, page 16 and are shown below:

		<b>BUNDLED RATES</b>	
<b>Rate Class</b>		<b>Sales Service</b>	
1		-2.2%	
6		-3.3%	
9		-4.2%	
100		-5.7%	
110		-5.7%	
115		-6.3%	
135		-6.9%	
145		-6.1%	
170		-7.3%	
200		-4.6%	
		<b>UNBUNDLED RATES</b>	
125		23.5%	
300		8.6%	

Witness: A. Kacicnik