

ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. RESPONSE TO
TCPL INTERROGATORY #36

INTERROGATORY

Issue A3

Reference(s) (i) EGD EB-2013-0074 Application

Preamble

TransCanada wishes to be better able to follow changes made to the initial EGD application.

Request

(a) Please provide a blackline version of the EB-2012-0451 Application which tracks all changes since the original application was filed.

RESPONSE

Clarification was received directly from TransCanada on August 7, 2013 on the specific blackline request. The request is to provide a blackline version from the original Application and Evidence (December 21, 2012) to the most current Application and Evidence (July 22, 2013, or the most recent submission date) for select exhibits. Please see the attachment for the requested blackline version. A summary of the exhibits included and not included in the blackline version is provided on the following page.

Witness: C. Fernandes

<u>A – PURPOSE, NEED, & TIMING</u>				
<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	<u>Blackline Version</u>
<u>A</u>	1	1	Exhibit List	Not requested
	2	1	Application	Included ¹
		2	OPCC Distribution List	Not requested
		3	List of Interested Parties	Not requested
		4	Summary of Changes	Not requested
	3	1	Purpose, Need, and Timing	Included
		2	History of Natural Gas Supply in the GTA	Included ²
		3	Operation and Limitations of Existing Facilities	Included ³
		4	Market Growth	Included
		5	Natural Gas Demand, Supply, and Expected Gas Supply Benefits	Included
		6	Proposed Facilities, Operation, and System Benefits	Included
		7	Alternatives	Included ⁴
		8	Timing	Included
		9	July 22, 2013 Update to Exhibit A, Tab 3	Not Included ⁵

¹ Attachment Figure 2 is not included as there are no changes from the original Application.

² Attachment to this exhibit is not included as there are no changes from the original Application.

³ Attachment to this exhibit is not included as there are no changes from the original Application.

⁴ Attachment to this exhibit is not included as there are no changes from the original Application.

⁵ This is a new exhibit in relation to the original Application and Evidence.

Witness: C. Fernandes

<u>B – ROUTING AND ENVIRONMENTAL</u>				
<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>B</u>	1	1	Preferred Route Description	Not requested
		2	Alternative Route Description	Not requested
<u>B</u>	2	1	Environmental Report and Archaeological Assessment	Not requested
		2	Environmental Implementation Plan	Not requested
<u>C – FACILITIES AND PROJECT COSTS</u>				
<u>C</u>	1	1	Design Specifications	Included
		2	Hydrostatic Test Procedure	Included
	2	1	Estimated Project Costs	Included
		2	Proposed Construction Schedule	Included
		3	Project Management Framework	Not Included ⁶
<u>D – LAND ISSUES</u>				
<u>D</u>	1	1	Land Requirements	Not requested
		2	Negotiations to Date	Not requested
		3	Permits Required	Not requested
		4	Affidavit	Not requested

⁶ Exhibit C, Tab 2, Schedule 3 is not included as there are no changes from the original Application and Evidence.

Witness: C. Fernandes

<u>E – ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY</u>				
<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Tab</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Contents</u>	
<u>E</u>	1	1	Project Benefits and Economics	Included ⁷
		2	Transportation Rate Methodology	Not Included ⁸
<u>F – OTHER MATTERS</u>				
<u>E</u>	1	1	Aboriginal Consultations	Not Requested

⁷ Attachment 1 is not included since the combination of changes (i.e. capital cost, expected gas supply benefits, correction to the customer additions forecast, etc.) impacts the entire DCF schedule.

⁸ This is a new exhibit in relation to the original Application and Evidence.

Witness: C. Fernandes