

SEC INTERROGATORY #7

INTERROGATORY

[A/1/3, p. 12] Please describe the extent of discussions between the members of the EAC with respect to i) how to apply the NTG study, and ii) what spillover component should be used for 2015. If there were disagreements between members of the EAC, please describe.

RESPONSE

In the absence of EAC minutes, Enbridge is only able to address its own views and is not able to comment for other members of the EAC.

- i) Following the communication from Board Staff on June 6, 2016 which communicated proposed changes to the NTG Study from the original Study undertaken by the TEC there was a meeting of the EAC on June 15, 2016. The utilities as requested had submitted comments on the documents prior to the meeting. The Company recalls the proposed documented changes were discussed at this meeting. Enbridge shared concerns regarding Board Staff's interpretation of the Board's decision and the proposed change in the NTG scope of work regarding the application of the NTG study.

On September 16, a draft EM&V plan was distributed to the EAC for comments. In addition, an updated draft CPSV / NTG study was distributed by Board Staff to the EAC on October 8, 2016 requesting comments on same. The utility provided comments on both of these draft documents. Subsequently there was a meeting of the EAC on October 20, 2016. The utility recalls that these drafts were discussed with the EAC, including the application of the NTG study results. The Company recalls that following some discussion, no clear decision was made regarding how NTG Study results were going to be applied and Board Staff indicated the EAC would revisit the issue at a future meeting. Enbridge does not recall the matter being discussed again prior to May 23, 2017. That day, one day prior to the EC's draft CPSV / NTG report being distributed to the EAC, Board Staff emailed the two utilities and confirmed it had instructed DNV to retroactively apply the NTG Study results (they were not in fact NTG values, they proposed free ridership values but did not include spillover) to 2015 DSM program results.

- ii) There were no discussions or consultations with the EAC regarding specifically what spillover component should be used for 2015. During a conference call of the EAC

Witnesses: D. Bullock
D. Johnson

on September 27, 2017, Board Staff communicated that they had instructed the EC to undertake research to identify a proxy deemed spillover value to be applied to the utilities' 2015 program results. The utilities voiced concerns regarding Board Staff directing the EC to apply a deemed spillover value instead of completing the spillover study in line with the scope of work outlined for the NTG study, and further that there was no consultation with the EAC regarding this decision. Enbridge has no record of the various views of other members of the EAC at the time of being advised of Board Staff's decision.

Witnesses: D. Bullock
D. Johnson