

<p>Aamjiwnaang First Nation (“AFN”) Environment Supervisor 519-336-8410</p>		
<p>Was project information provided to the community?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On November 30, 2018, an Enbridge Gas Inc. (“Enbridge”) representative informed the AFN representative via email that Enbridge was planning to conduct the stage 2 archeological assessment on December 13, 2018 for the proposed Ladysmith Project Gas Well Project (“Project”). The Enbridge representative requested a response to confirm AFN’s participation. On December 1, 2018, the AFN representative responded confirming that AFN via Tri Tribal Monitoring Services would be participating in the stage 2 archaeological assessment.</p> <p>On December 13, 2018, an AFN community representative participated in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment.</p> <p>On December 18, 2018, an electronic copy of the notification letter and the Duty to Consult letter from the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines was sent to a representative from AFN regarding the Project. The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the AFN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a meeting with AFN so she could provide the AFN representative with an overview of the Project and answer any questions she may have.</p> <p>On February 22, 2019, the Enbridge representative held a telephone conference call with AFN’s Environment supervisor regarding the Project. A copy of the Project slide deck was provided to AFN in advance of the conference call. The Enbridge representative explained that it was identified that Enbridge needed to perform a statisgrahic test on the well before any drilling can take place to test how the injections are affecting the formation and to ensure that there is no alterations of the storage formation at the Ladysmith Gas Well. The Enbridge representative explained that in 2020, the results from the statisgrahic test will be reviewed and will help determine if</p>

	<p>this is a viable well that Enbridge can start drilling. If the results are successful, in 2021, the Enbridge representative indicated that they would like to complete the horizontal well and injection well. The Enbridge representative explained that although an application was submitted to the Ministry of Natural Resources the Ministry will not issue the permit until the Ontario Energy Board via the Ministry of Energy deems the First Nation Consultation to have been sufficient and complete. The Enbridge representative provided an overview of the regulatory process and explained that the process involved First Nation engagement, she provided the AFN representative with Project related information, so she could address any concerns or questions AFN may have regarding the Project to the extent possible.</p> <p>AFN asked if the horizontal well was going to be permanent and if it was going to be used for removing gas? The Enbridge representative explained that the most environmentally efficient way to complete the Project was to install one horizontal well which would replace the need to drill three vertical wells in 2020. The purpose of the horizontal well was to allow Enbridge to extract the gas from the storage well and inject it back into the system.</p> <p>The AFN representative asked what would happen if the data showed that this is not a feasible well for withdrawal? The Enbridge representative explained that they may not be able to go through with the drilling if the results indicated that the formation was being stressed and that it was critical to ensure that the formation had not been altered or changed in any manner. The AFN representative stated that she always has to check to confirm if there was any gas storage being taken from underneath AFN's reserve and to ensure that the edge of the reef is not near where the AFN reserve is located. The AFN representative asked if Enbridge could define the limits of the reef. The Enbridge representative committed to getting back to the AFN representative with an answer to this question. The AFN representative indicated that she would share this information with Chief and Council. The Enbridge representative asked the AFN representative if she had any further Project-related questions or concerns. The AFN representative advised that she didn't have any further questions and that she was happy to see that noise mitigation measures were going to be implemented. The Enbridge representative confirmed that pending regulatory approval, the drilling would take place in April 2020/2021. The Enbridge representative suggested that it would be helpful to notify the community of the planned drill in the Tribune Newsletter closer</p>
--	--

		<p>to the date. The AFN representative also suggested that another area where they could provide a Project update is on AFN's website under "Upcoming Projects",</p> <p>On March 4, 2019, an Enbridge representative emailed a copy of the Environmental Screening Report ("ESR"), Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport clearance letter and final Stage 1 and Stage 2 archaeological report for the Project to AFN. The Enbridge representative offered the AFN representative capacity funding to ensure the community had reasonable resources to review and provide comments to the ESR report. To date, the AFN representative did not respond.</p>
<p>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>AFN raised Project-related questions regarding the proposed Ladysmith Gas Well Project. Enbridge addressed these questions by way of email on March 21, 2019, subsequent to the February 22, 2019 conference call.</p>

<p>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On March 21, 2019, Enbridge emailed the AFN representative responses to the questions posed during the February 22nd meeting. The Enbridge representative requested a call back with any additional questions AFN may have regarding the Project. To date, the AFN representative did not reply with additional questions.</p>	
		<p>AFN Question</p>	<p>Enbridge Response</p>
		<p>Once Enbridge receives the data are they putting in a horizontal well and will that well be permanent and will it be for removing gas?</p>	<p>The most environmentally efficient way to complete the Project is to install one horizontal well, which would replace the need to drill three vertical wells. The horizontal well would allow Enbridge to enhance the extraction of gas from the storage reef and inject it into the system.</p>
		<p>Is there gas being taken from underneath AFN's reserve and what are the limits of the Ladysmith reef?</p>	<p>To address this concern, Enbridge provided AFN with a map showing the location of the Ladysmith Pool in relation to AFN's reserve, which is approximately 9 km. The Ladysmith reef boundary does not extend beyond the red square or Designated Storage Area boundary shown on the map - therefore, the Ladysmith reef is not underneath AFN's reserve.</p>
		<p>What happens if the results from the stratigraphic data show that this is not a feasible well for withdrawal?</p>	<p>If the stratigraphic test indicates that the reef is not viable then Enbridge would have to notify the Regulator – Ministry of Natural Resources & Forestry and the Ontario Energy Board and put forward a plan to make the reef viable. The Regulators would have to agree to the</p>

		<p>plan. Part of the plan may include the abandonment of the well. Enbridge does not expect that the testing will show that the reef is not viable as natural gas has been stored in the Ladysmith reef since 1999 and there have not been any leaks since this time. Since 1999, the inventory of gas injected and withdrawn from the reef has balanced. There is currently one observation well and two horizontal wells at Ladysmith. The purpose of the additional proposed horizontal well is to allow for increased deliverability (flow) from the reef, to meet high peak energy demands, primarily during the winter season.</p>
<p>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>AFN has not expressed any Project specific concerns regarding the Project; therefore, to date AFN does not have any outstanding concerns.</p>

**Chippewas of Kettle and Stony Point First Nation (“CKSPFN”)
 Consultation Coordinator
 519-786-2125**

<p>Was project information provided to the community?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On November 30, 2018, the Enbridge representative informed the CKSPFN consultation coordinator via email that Enbridge was planning to conduct the stage 2 archeological assessment on December 13, 2018 for the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a response to confirm CKSPFN's participation. The CKSPFN representative did not respond.</p> <p>On December 4, 2019, the Enbridge representative left a telephone message with the CKSPFN consultation coordinator inquiring as to whether the community would like to participate in the stage 2 archaeological assessments. The consultation coordinator did not respond.</p> <p>On December 18, 2018, an Enbridge representative sent a CKSPFN representative an electronic copy of the notification letter and the Duty to Consult letter from the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines regarding the Project. The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the CKSPFN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a meeting with CKSPFN to provide the community with an overview of the Project and answer any questions they may have.</p> <p>On March 4, 2019, an Enbridge representative emailed the CKSPFN representative a copy of the Environmental Screening Report (ESR), Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport clearance letter and final Stage 1 and Stage 2 archaeological report for the Project. The Enbridge representative offered the CKSPFN representative capacity funding to ensure the community had reasonable resources to review and provide comments to the ESR report. The CKSPFN representative did not respond.</p> <p>On March 8, 2019, the Enbridge representative left a message with the CKSPFN Consultation Coordinator inquiring as to whether she has any questions regarding the Environmental Screening Report and to confirm whether the suggested 8</p>
--	---	---

		hours to review and provide comments to the document was sufficient.
Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	There has not been any outreach by the Nation despite multiple attempts.
Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The CKSPFN Consultation Coordinator did not have any Project-related questions.
Does the community have any outstanding concerns?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No, the community did not communicate any outstanding concerns or questions related to the Project. As referred to above, the community has not expressed an interest to meet with Enbridge.

<p>Chippewas of the Thames First Nation (“COTTFN”) Consultation Coordinator 519-289-5555</p>		
<p>Was project information provided to the community?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On November 30, 2018, an Enbridge representative informed the COTTFN representative via email that Enbridge was planning to conduct the stage 2 archeological assessment on December 13, 2018 for the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a response to confirm whether COTTFN wanted to participate in the assessment.</p> <p>On December 3, 2018, the Enbridge representative left a message with the Consultation Coordinator inquiring as to whether COTTFN was interested in participating in the Stage 2 archaeological assessment. The Enbridge representative requested a call back regarding their interest and /or availability.</p> <p>On December 18, 2018, the Enbridge representative sent the COTTFN consultation coordinator an electronic copy of the notification letter and the Duty to Consult letter from the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines regarding the Project. The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the COTTFN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project. Enbridge requested a meeting with COTTFN to provide the community with an overview of the Project and answer any questions they may have.</p> <p>On February 11, 2019, the Enbridge representative and Enbridge Reservoir Manager met with the COTTFN Consultation Department to provide the community with an overview of the Project.</p> <p>A copy of the Project slide deck was provided to COTTFN in advance of the in person meeting. The Enbridge representative explained that it was proposing to perform a statisgraphic test on the well before any drilling can take place to test how the injections are affecting the formation and ensuring that there are no alterations of the storage formation at Ladysmith. The Enbridge representative explained that in 2020, the results from the statisgraphic test will be reviewed and at that point, it would</p>

	<p>be determined whether the Ladysmith Gas well site was a viable well for drilling. The Enbridge representative explained that if the results are successful and pending regulatory approval, Enbridge would like to complete the horizontal well and injection well in 2021. The Enbridge representative explained that although an application was submitted to the Ministry of Natural Resources the Ministry will not issue the permit until the Ontario Energy Board via the Ministry of Energy deems the First Nation Consultation to have been sufficient and complete. The Enbridge representative provided an overview of the regulatory process and explained that the process involved First Nation engagement, she provided Project related information, and advised that she would address any concerns or questions the COTTFN may have regarding the Project. The Enbridge representative also provided a Project map showing the location of the Ladysmith Gas Well site. The Enbridge representative also provided an overview of vertical and horizontal wells, including the proposed mitigation measures and Project timelines. No Project-specific concerns were expressed.</p> <p>On March 1, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed the COTTFN representative a copy of the Environmental Screening Report (“ESR”), Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport clearance letter and final Stage 1 and Stage 2 archaeological report for the Project. The Enbridge representative offered the COTTFN representative capacity funding to ensure the community had reasonable resources to review and provide comments to the ESR report. The COTTFN responded confirming that 8 hours was sufficient time to review and provide comments to the document.</p> <p>On April 1, 2019, the COTTFN Consultation Coordinator sent a letter to the Enbridge representative regarding the Ladysmith Gas Well Environmental Study Report. The letter stated that the Project will be conducted within the Big Bear Creek land selection area as well as the COTTFN Traditional Territory. The letter stated that the COTTFN reviewed the Report and requested that if a potential Archaeological find is identified during construction; the COTTFN would like to be notified immediately of the find so they could provide an Archaeological Field Liaison onsite during the archaeological investigations. The COTTFN further indicated that they looked forward to continuing this open line of communication.</p> <p>On April 17, 2019, the Enbridge representative thanked the COTTFN for reviewing the report and for their comments. The Enbridge representative reinforced their commitment to</p>
--	--

		<p>contacting the COTTFN in the event any archaeological resources are discovered during construction and that Enbridge would welcome the opportunity for a COTTFN Field Liaison to participate during the investigations.</p>
<p>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Enbridge and the COTTFN had multiple exchanges regarding the Project.</p>
<p>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>COTTFN did not raise any Project-related questions but the consultation coordinator did indicate that they would like to be contacted in the event archaeological resources are discovered during construction. Enbridge has committed to notifying the COTTFN and inviting an archaeological field liaison from the community to participate in any archaeological investigations regarding the Project.</p>
<p>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>To date, the COTTFN has not expressed any Project-related concerns regarding the Project.</p>

<p>Oneida Nation of the Thames (“Oneida Nation”) Consultation Coordinator (519) 652-6161</p>		
<p>Was project information provided to the community?</p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </p>	<p>On November 30, 2018, an Enbridge representative informed the Oneida Nation representative via email that Enbridge was planning to conduct the stage 2 archeological assessment on December 13, 2018 for the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a response to confirm Oneida’s participation. On January 14, 2019, the Consultation Coordinator responded via email confirming that he had reviewed the information provided on November 30, 2018. The Oneida representative expressed an interest in meeting with Enbridge to discuss the Project and provided suggested meeting dates.</p> <p>On December 18, 2018, the Enbridge representative sent the Oneida Nation consultation coordinator an electronic copy of the notification letter and the Duty to Consult letter from the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines regarding the Project. The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the Oneida Nation representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a meeting with Oneida Nation to provide the community with an overview of the Project and answer any questions they may have.</p> <p>On February 7, 2019, the Enbridge representative met with the Oneida Nation Environment committee to discuss the Project. The Enbridge representative provided a brief history of Enbridge’s Gas Storage Operations and explained that Enbridge has storage wells that are natural rock formations where Enbridge injects and pumps out natural gas. The Enbridge representative indicated that there is enough natural gas storage to keep Toronto heated during the winter months – approximately 3 million people.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative explained that the stratigraphic testing was planned for 2019 and the data collection from the stratigraphic test will continue to 2020 and once enough data has been gathered; Enbridge will go back in and construct a</p>

		<p>horizontal well. Enbridge referred to the slide deck explaining what a horizontal is. Enbridge explained that many millions of years ago, the Ladysmith site was a coral reef and sediment had built up over time and compressed the rock which made it porous, which allows Enbridge to inject natural gas into the rock.</p> <p>An Oneida Nation representative asked whether the rock swells up when gas is injected. The Enbridge representative explained that the storage reefs are almost like cones and Enbridge looks for these formation cones so it maximizes the storage capacity and it also has to have the bottom and sides sealed so the formations are completely contained so gas does not leak out. The Enbridge representative explained that they have been replacing a lot of wells recently. For every horizontal well that is constructed, it replaces three vertical wells which is a lot more efficient and causes less disruption to the land. The Enbridge representative explained that once the well is turned into a horizontal well, Enbridge will install a pipeline lateral and connect it to Enbridge's pipeline system.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative explained that on December 2018, an application was submitted to the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry ("MNRF") and the Ministry refers the application to the Ontario Energy Board to issue its decision.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative provided an overview of the regulatory process and stated that the MNRF will not issue the drilling permit until the OEB is satisfied that consultation with the Indigenous communities has been sufficient. The OEB wants to ensure that Enbridge has addressed any questions and concerns raised by potentially affected Indigenous groups.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative explained that the drilling and stratigraphic test would take place on private property. Enbridge explained that the purpose of the stratigraphic test was to monitor the effect of storage and how the injection activities affect the formation. The Oneida Nation representative asked whether the stratigraphic test was to ensure that the formation is not altered. The Enbridge representative explained that stratigraphic test well will measure the stress and strain in the overlying formations to study if the storage operations are affecting these formations.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative explained that a stage 1 and stage 2 archaeological assessment was conducted and the Enbridge representative indicated that at the time, Oneida Nation did not have a consultation representative. The Enbridge representative explained that she had reached out to the</p>
--	--	--

	<p>Chief's assistant asking if Oneida Nation was interested in participating in the stage 2 surveys. The Enbridge representative stated that at that time she did not hear back from the community. The Enbridge representative indicated that she was pleased that she now has a contact in the community she can reach out to for any future projects that will require archaeological assessment participation. The Enbridge representative explained that an environmental assessment was going to be conducted in February 2019 to better understand whether there is anything within the proposed Project footprint that the Project team needed to be aware of such as species at risk, species of interest and sensitive sites that would require protection and subsequent mitigation measures.</p> <p>The Oneida Nation representative asked what Enbridge meant when we said "we are going to put the land back to its original state". The Enbridge representative explained that the company often meets and exceeds regulatory requirements and if there is a site that requires cleaning, Enbridge will clean the site and restore the land back to its original state if not better as appropriate. The Enbridge representative explained that on one of the sites there was contamination present because historically when the wells were owned by a different company, they would dig up a pit where they would be drilling and all the shavings, salt pulling from the ground would be left. The Enbridge representative explained that regulatory requirements have since changed so at present, when contamination is discovered, it is dealt with appropriately and the sites are rehabilitated according to Ministry guidelines.</p> <p>The Enbridge representative stated that an application was submitted to the MNRF and pending Project approval, Enbridge will start building the gravel pads in September 2020 to allow for vehicles to drive on and for the rig. The Enbridge representative explained that the drilling rig will run 24 hours 7 days a week and in an effort to contain any noise and vibration, Enbridge will bring in sea containers and build them around the site to contain the noise within, as well as install exhaust pipes and bring them down to ground level with mufflers on them at all times. Enbridge explained that the exhaust is run through hospital grade mufflers. Oneida Nation asked what Aamjiwnaang First Nation has been saying about the Project. The Enbridge representative indicated that she had provided AFN with the Project information. The Enbridge representative explained that AFN participated in the stage 2 archaeological assessments, but has not expressed any concerns to date and that Enbridge will continue to work with AFN to ensure they are</p>
--	---

		<p>fully informed of the Project. Oneida Nation did not have any further questions or concerns regarding the Project.</p> <p>On February 15, the Enbridge representative provided answers to the questions asked by the Oneida Nation representative during the February 7th meeting and requested a call back with any additional questions they may have regarding the Project. The Consultation Coordinator responded thanking Enbridge for the additional information.</p> <p>On March 4, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed a copy of the Environmental Screening Report (“ESR”), Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport clearance letter and final Stage 1 and Stage 2 archaeological report for the Project. The Enbridge representative offered the Oneida Nation consultation coordinator capacity funding to ensure the community had reasonable resources to review and provide comments to the ESR report. The Oneida Nation consultation coordinator responded confirming that 8 hours was sufficient time to review and provide comments to the document.</p>
<p>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Enbridge and Oneida Nation had multiple exchanges regarding the Project.</p>

<p>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On February 15, 2019, Enbridge emailed the Oneida Nation representative responses to the questions posed during the February 7th meeting. The Enbridge representative requested a call back with any additional questions the community may have regarding the Project. The Oneida Nation representative did not have any additional questions.</p>									
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;">Oneida Nation Question</th> <th style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;">Enbridge Response</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="padding: 10px;"> <p>Does the rock swell up when you inject the gas?</p> </td> <td style="padding: 10px;"> <p>No, the storage reefs are almost like cones and Enbridge looks for these formation cones so it maximizes the storage capacity and it also has to have the bottom and sides sealed. It's completely contained so gas does not leak out.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 10px;"> <p>Is the stratigraphic test to ensure that the formation is not altered?</p> </td> <td style="padding: 10px;"> <p>The instrumentation installed in the stratigraphic test well will measure the stress and strain in the overlying formations to study whether the storage operations are affecting these formations.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 10px;"> <p>When you say you are going to put the land back to its original state, CN Rail bought the land, it's contaminated and they refuse to clean it up. The railroad crosses our reserve and they have refused to clean it up.</p> </td> <td style="padding: 10px;"> <p>Enbridge stated that it often meets or exceeds regulatory requirements and if there is a site that requires cleaning, Enbridge will clean it until it is put back to its original state if not better, as appropriate. The Enbridge representative provided an example and explained that Enbridge has been abandoning wells in accordance with regulatory</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Oneida Nation Question	Enbridge Response	<p>Does the rock swell up when you inject the gas?</p>	<p>No, the storage reefs are almost like cones and Enbridge looks for these formation cones so it maximizes the storage capacity and it also has to have the bottom and sides sealed. It's completely contained so gas does not leak out.</p>	<p>Is the stratigraphic test to ensure that the formation is not altered?</p>	<p>The instrumentation installed in the stratigraphic test well will measure the stress and strain in the overlying formations to study whether the storage operations are affecting these formations.</p>	<p>When you say you are going to put the land back to its original state, CN Rail bought the land, it's contaminated and they refuse to clean it up. The railroad crosses our reserve and they have refused to clean it up.</p>	<p>Enbridge stated that it often meets or exceeds regulatory requirements and if there is a site that requires cleaning, Enbridge will clean it until it is put back to its original state if not better, as appropriate. The Enbridge representative provided an example and explained that Enbridge has been abandoning wells in accordance with regulatory</p>
		Oneida Nation Question	Enbridge Response								
		<p>Does the rock swell up when you inject the gas?</p>	<p>No, the storage reefs are almost like cones and Enbridge looks for these formation cones so it maximizes the storage capacity and it also has to have the bottom and sides sealed. It's completely contained so gas does not leak out.</p>								
<p>Is the stratigraphic test to ensure that the formation is not altered?</p>	<p>The instrumentation installed in the stratigraphic test well will measure the stress and strain in the overlying formations to study whether the storage operations are affecting these formations.</p>										
<p>When you say you are going to put the land back to its original state, CN Rail bought the land, it's contaminated and they refuse to clean it up. The railroad crosses our reserve and they have refused to clean it up.</p>	<p>Enbridge stated that it often meets or exceeds regulatory requirements and if there is a site that requires cleaning, Enbridge will clean it until it is put back to its original state if not better, as appropriate. The Enbridge representative provided an example and explained that Enbridge has been abandoning wells in accordance with regulatory</p>										
<p>Does the rock swell up when you inject the gas?</p>	<p>No, the storage reefs are almost like cones and Enbridge looks for these formation cones so it maximizes the storage capacity and it also has to have the bottom and sides sealed. It's completely contained so gas does not leak out.</p>										
<p>Is the stratigraphic test to ensure that the formation is not altered?</p>	<p>The instrumentation installed in the stratigraphic test well will measure the stress and strain in the overlying formations to study whether the storage operations are affecting these formations.</p>										
<p>When you say you are going to put the land back to its original state, CN Rail bought the land, it's contaminated and they refuse to clean it up. The railroad crosses our reserve and they have refused to clean it up.</p>	<p>Enbridge stated that it often meets or exceeds regulatory requirements and if there is a site that requires cleaning, Enbridge will clean it until it is put back to its original state if not better, as appropriate. The Enbridge representative provided an example and explained that Enbridge has been abandoning wells in accordance with regulatory</p>										

			<p>requirements and Enbridge explained that it had one site where contamination was present because historically when a different company owned the wells, they would dig up a pit where they would be drilling and all the shavings, salt pulling from the ground would be left. Enbridge explained that at present everything that it finds goes into a tank and is sent away and dealt with appropriately. Therefore, if a contaminated site is discovered, it would be remediated to current Ministry guidelines.</p>
		<p>Can oxygen get in there?</p>	<p>Oxygen cannot get in because it's completely sealed.</p>
		<p>Is the drill rig run by gas / diesel and does Enbridge install scrubbers that go over the exhaust?</p>	<p>The rig uses diesel, the exhaust is run through hospital grade mufflers.</p>
		<p>Oneida Nation asked what Aamjiwnaang First Nation has been saying about the Project?</p>	<p>The Enbridge representative indicated that she had provided AFN with the Project information but had not heard back from the environmental supervisor as of yet regarding any questions or concerns AFN may have. The Enbridge representative explained that AFN participated in the stage 2 archaeological assessments, but has not</p>

		<p>expressed any concerns to date and that Enbridge will continue to work with AFN to ensure they are fully informed about the Project.</p>
<p>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>To date, the Oneida Nation has not expressed any Project-related concerns regarding the Project.</p>

<p>Walpole Island First Nation (“WIFN”) Consultation Coordinator 519-627-0746</p>		
<p>Was project information provided to the community?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>On November 30, 2018, the Enbridge representative informed the WIFN consultation coordinator via email that Enbridge was planning to conduct the stage 2 archeological assessment on December 13, 2018 for the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a response to confirm WIFN's participation. The WIFN representative did not respond back.</p> <p>On December 4, 2019, the Enbridge representative left a telephone message with the WIFN consultation coordinator inquiring as to whether the community would like to participate in the stage 2 archaeological assessments. The consultation coordinator did not respond.</p> <p>On December 18, 2018, the Enbridge representative sent the WIFN consultation coordinator with an electronic copy of the notification letter and the Duty to Consult letter from the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines regarding the Project . The Project notification letter outlined the scope of the Project, included a map and the location of the proposed route and the rationale for the Project. As outlined in the electronic letter, the Enbridge representative further informed the WIFN representative that Enbridge retained Stantec to complete an environmental screening report to assess physical, natural and socio-economical features potentially impacted by construction activities on the Project. The Enbridge representative requested a meeting with WIFN to provide the community with an overview of the Project and answer any questions they may have.</p> <p>On March 4, 2019, the Enbridge representative emailed a copy of the Environmental Screening Report (“ESR”), Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport clearance letter and final Stage 1 and Stage 2 archaeological report for the Project. The Enbridge representative offered the WIFN consultation coordinator capacity funding to ensure the community had reasonable resources to review and provide comments to the ESR report.</p> <p>On March 8, 2019, the Enbridge representative left a message with the WIFN Consultation Coordinator inquiring as to whether she had any questions regarding the Environmental Screening</p>

		<p>Report and to confirm whether the suggested 8 hours to review and provide comments to the document was sufficient. The Consultation Coordinator did not respond.</p>
<p>Was the community responsive/did you have direct contact with the community?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Despite multiple attempts from Enbridge, there was no response from WIFN's Consultation Department regarding the Project.</p>
<p>Did the community members or representatives have any questions or concerns?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>The community did not communicate any outstanding concerns or questions related to the Project. As referred to above, the community has not expressed an interest to meet with Enbridge to discuss the Project.</p>
<p>Does the community have any outstanding concerns?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>WIFN has not expressed any Project specific concerns regarding the Project. Therefore, to date, WIFN does not have any outstanding concerns in relation to the Project.</p>