

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.
Answer to Interrogatory from
School Energy Coalition (SEC)

Reference: B1/2/1, p. 18

Question:

Please confirm that the Sudbury Replacement project does not qualify under the Board-approved ICM for the Applicant. If the Applicant believes it does qualify, please provide a detailed explanation. If the Applicant believes it does not qualify, please explain the precise relief the Applicant is seeking from the Board, e.g. a) inclusion of the project in 2019 opening rate base, and a concomitant base year adjustment, b) deeming of the project to have come into service in 2019 and thus qualify for ICM treatment, c) retroactively deeming the ICM mechanism and the capital pass-through mechanism to both apply during 2018 year, d) exempting the Sudbury Replacement project from the \$5 million impact requirement of the capital pass-through mechanism, or e) any other relief the Applicant believes is appropriate. SEC is seeking in this question to more clearly understand the exact exception that the Applicant is seeking from the Board from the normal rules and principles that would be applied to rate recovery for this project, in order to understand the implications of that exception both for the Applicant and for other utilities seeking exceptions to the rules.

Response

Please see Exhibit I.STAFF.24.