

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.
Answer to Interrogatory from
Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

Reference: Exhibit B1, Tab 2, Schedule 1

Question:

- a) Why did EGI not calculate two materiality thresholds – one for distribution function and another for transmissions (e.g. Dawn-Parkway) capital expenditures?
- b) For the purpose of calculating and ICM/ACM threshold value why should EGI's transmission business not be considered analogous to Hydro One Inc. where the threshold values for the transmission business would be calculated separately from those of the distribution operations?
- c) Is it possible to amend Table 3 to show the ICM threshold Capital Expenditure Calculation by Rate Zone and for the Union Rate Zone by transmission and distribution functions? If yes, please provide that calculation. If not, please explain the impediments to making this calculation.

Response

- a-c) The availability of ICM to Enbridge Gas was litigated in the MAADs and Rate Setting Mechanism proceeding, and approved by the Board in its Decision and Order, dated August 30, 2018.¹

¹ EB-2017-0306/EB-2017-0307, OEB Decision dated August 30, 2018.