

ENBRIDGE GAS INC.  
Answer to Interrogatory from  
Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)

Reference: Exhibit B1/T2/S1/pg.19

Question:

At the above reference the evidence states:

Given the magnitude of the \$95.3 million investment in the Sudbury Replacement project, incremental funding of the project is required. The cumulative revenue requirement of the project from 2018 through 2023 is over \$47 million. Union was not able to reprioritize 2018 Capital investment in order to fund this investment using existing rates.

- a) Please provide the list of projects that were considered (and subsequently rejected as per the evidence above) in considering the need for the Sudbury Replacement Project.
- b) Please provide the minutes/presentation or other evidence that is demonstrative of the exercise EGI went through to consider what projects might be deferred in order to complete the Sudbury Replacement Project without the need for an ICM.

---

**Response**

- a) The alternative projects that were considered for the Sudbury Replacement project can be found on pages 6 and 7 of pre-filed evidence in the EB-2017-0180 proceeding.
- b) As per section 4.2, Asset Planning<sup>1</sup>, the Sudbury Replacement project was risk assessed using the Union rate zones' Risk Matrix<sup>2</sup> and assigned priority based on the "Priority Ranking Scale" criteria.<sup>3</sup> All projects identified in the planning process

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit C1, Tab 3, Schedule 1 pages 39 - 58.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid., Figure 4.2.1.1.3.2, page 53.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid., Table 4.2.1.1.4.1, page 57.

are assigned priority based on the "Priority Ranking Scale" without consideration of the funding mechanism.

Please see Exhibit I.STAFF.24 for further information with respect to ICM funding for the Sudbury Replacement project.